

SOUTH ESSEX PARKING PARTNERSHIP SUB COMMITTEE

14TH FEBRUARY 2024 - 1.30PM

AGENDA ITEM 5

Subject	The Essex County Council (Maldon District) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) And (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) (Amendment No.10) Order 202*
	Relating to Lambourne Grove, Maldon
Report by	South Essex Parking Partnership Manager

Enquiries contact:
 Nick Binder, South Essex Parking Partnership Manager,
 01245 606303, nick.binder@chelmsford.gov.uk

Purpose:
 To report the receipt of representations made on part of The Essex County Council (Maldon District) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) And (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) (Amendment No.10) Order 202*

Options
 The Joint Committee has the following options available:

1. to agree that the proposed Order be made as advertised.
2. to agree that the proposed Order be made subject to modifications which result in less restrictive provisions or reduced scope.
3. to agree that the proposed Order be withdrawn in its entirety.

Recommendation(s)

1. The proposed Order should be made as advertised.
2. The people making representations be advised accordingly.

Consulters	South Essex Parking Partnership
-------------------	---------------------------------

Policies and Strategies
 The report takes into account the South Essex Parking Partnership Document setting out how the SEPP will deal with requests for parking restrictions requiring TROs.

1.	Background
<p>1.1</p> <p>1.2</p> <p>1.3</p> <p>1.4</p> <p>1.5</p> <p>1.6</p>	<p>The purpose of this Order is to amend The Essex County Council (Maldon District) (Prohibition of Waiting, Loading and Stopping) and (On-Street Parking Places) (Civil Enforcement Area) Consolidation Order 2019 as set out below:</p> <p>In 2021, a request was submitted for 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions and a 24/7 Resident Permit Scheme in Lambourne Grove, Maldon. This application was supported by Councillor Mark Heard, Ward of Maldon West. This application was previously considered by SEPP in 2017/2018 whereby the request was declined. However, the applicant asked for the original request to be reassessed. Alongside the original application there were 35 supporting signatures from residential properties along the road. The applicant stated that vehicles park on the bend of Lambourne Grove, causing vehicles to drive onto the wrong side of the road to pass them. It is felt by the applicant that there is inadequate visibility to perform this manoeuvre, especially for larger vehicles.</p> <p>During the site visits conducted by SEPP Technicians, several vehicles were observed parking on Lambourne Grove at the location in question. Due to the road layout, the boundary walls of properties and objects in the grass verge, sightlines of oncoming traffic are obscured when overtaking parked vehicles. As most properties on Lambourne Grove have some form off street parking, it is unlikely that these belong to residents of the road, but rather residents of Fambridge Road. It should be noted these would not be classed as 'non-residents' as they live within the vicinity. Therefore, as this is not local worker or commuter parking, and there is still parking available in Lambourne Grove, it does not meet the SEPP Policy criteria for a Permit Scheme.</p> <p>Following the assessment, it was decided by the Lead Officer and SEPP Joint Committee Member that the request should be declined. However, following further complaints from residents regarding Waste Collection, additional site visits were conducted. It was noted that when vehicles park on the bend, access could be difficult for large vehicles. It was therefore agreed that SEPP Technicians would design some parking scheme options for Lambourne Grove to improve access and sightlines on the bend. SEPP technicians presented 4 options to the Lead Officer and SEPP Joint Committee Member for consideration.</p> <p>After discussions, it was agreed that SEPP would proceed with the option to introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions on both sides of Lambourne Grove up and including to the north-eastern arm junction, except for several metres to allow some parking on the south side ('the Proposal'). The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>Furthermore, the request for funding was agreed in May 2023 to proceed with the necessary Traffic Regulation Orders. The cost of the scheme was estimated at £3,000. This cost would be reduced if incorporated with other roads in Maldon to publish one Traffic Regulation Order.</p>
2.	Relevant excerpts from SEPP Policy (Version 6 December 2020)

2.1	SEPP Policy – 1.6 'It is acknowledged that all requests for a parking restriction will carry some form of merit and may be beneficial to the particular area. The requests will be submitted for a variety of reasons and depending on the circumstance will be considered as a high or low funding priority to the Partnership. As the amount of funding available for new schemes is limited it is the intention of this policy to provide a criteria, which if met, will be considered a high priority scheme for the Partnership and therefore stand a greater chance of receiving the available funding. Schemes that do not meet all the criteria can still be progressed and considered by the Joint Committee, but schemes with a higher priority will take precedence. All schemes will be subject to available funding.'
2.2	SEPP Policy – 7.1 'The SEPP will receive all parking restriction requests that do not meet the criteria of ECC safety and congestion policies, detailed above. Although these schemes do not meet the ECC criteria the Partnership may decide to implement parking restrictions to improve safety and sight lines, if the Partnership consider that the restriction will be beneficial to the area.'
3	Traffic Regulation Order
3.1	The proposed Order was published in the Maldon and Burnham Standard on 29 June 2023. Additionally, public notices were erected on the affected parts of Lambourne Grove. A number of properties in the affected area were also written to informing them of 'the Proposal'. Copies of the draft Order were sent to a number of organisations including Essex Police, Essex County Council (Essex Highways, the highway authority), Essex Fire & Rescue Service, Essex Ambulance Service, the Road Haulage Association, the Freight Transport Association, and the Chamber of Commerce and Industry
3.2	When the Order was published on 29 June 2023, a 21-day period of formal public consultation commenced.
4	Comments
4.1	During the consultation 13 objections were received. Nine comments were received in support.
4.2	Some of the concerns raised included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The removal of parking areas will cause difficulties for residents of Fambridge Road wanting to park. • Implementing 'the Proposal' will displace vehicles, causing possible issues on Fambridge Road (PR2 Route) or further along Lambourne Grove. • 'The Proposal' does not go far enough to restrict parking.
4.3	The full details of the representations are summarised in Appendix 2 to this report together with the comments of the Technicians.
5	Conclusion
5.1	Some correspondents have made several points which lead them to believe 'the Proposal' should not be pursued in whole or part. However, the SEPP Joint Committee Member and Lead Officer for Maldon, and SEPP Technicians recommend proposed Order be made as advertised.

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 – List of people making representations

Appendix 2 – Summary of objections or support and Technicians comments

Appendix 3 – Photos

APPENDIX 1

Ref.	List of people making representations	Type
1	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 29/06/2023	Support
2	Emails from resident of Fambridge Road dated 29/06/2023	Objection
3	Online Submission from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 08/07/2023	Support
4	Email from road user dated 09/07/2023	Support
5	Online Submission from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 10/07/2023	Support
6	Emails from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 12/07/2023 – 24/07/2023	Support
7	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 14/07/2023	Objection
8	Emails from residents of Lambourne Grove dated 15/07/2023 – 17/07/2023	Support
9	Emails from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 17/07/2023	Support
10	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 17/07/2023	Objection
11	Letter from residents of Lambourne Grove dated 09/07/2023	Objection
12	Letter and email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 17/07/2023 – 24/07/2023	Objection
13	Email from residents of Fambridge Road dated 17/07/2023	Objection
14	Emails from resident of Lambourne Grove 20/07/2023 – 24/07/2023	Objection
15	Emails from residents of Lambourne Grove dated 20/07/2023 – 25/07/2023	Objection
16	Email from road user dated 20/07/2023	Support
17	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 20/07/2023	Objection
18	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 20/07/2023	Objection
19	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 20/07/2023	Support
20	Email from resident of Fambridge Road dated 20/07/2023	Objection
21	Email from resident of Fambridge Road dated 20/07/2023	Objection
22	Email from resident of Lambourne Grove dated 24/07/2023	Objection

APPENDIX 2

**REPRESENTATIONS & RESPONSES FOLLOWING FORMAL ADVERTISEMENT –
29 June 2023 – 21 July 2023**

Representations & responses relating to Lambourne Grove, Maldon		
Ref	Representation -	Technician response -
1	<p>With regard to the parking restrictions proposed for Lambourne Grove we would like it noted that we support the proposal. It is very dangerous with vans and cars parked along the proposed site as you have to drive on the wrong side of the road to get pass and it is very hard to see cars etc coming the other way. There is often a DPD van parked on the left hand as you come into Lambourne Grove from Frambridge Road which makes it difficult to see if it is safe to drive into Lambourne Grove. It is just an accident waiting to happen.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>
2	<p>Email 1</p> <p>While I sympathise with the residents about parking on this road I do however feel a complete restriction on parking here is not reasonable for the people who live on Fambridge road and surrounding roads who don't have sufficient driveway space for multiple cars and have no choice but to park there cars here on a evening time where it is close to the kerb and has more then enough room for other vehicles to travel pass with no problem to maintain sight lines. I feel a full no waiting at any time restriction is unreasonable for people like me who work 12-14 hours a day and when back late evening time are just looking for somewhere to park until the next morning when again I will be gone and at work before it would even affect anyone on the road.</p> <p>I believe a compromise to a lesser restriction for the local residents on Fambridge road is more then reasonable enough because otherwise with a few of the residents having to park on Fambridge road it means other cars from the households won't be able to move off the driveways and will result into cars being</p>	<p>Objection Noted</p> <p>During the site visits conducted by SEPP Technicians, when vehicles park on the bend, access could be difficult for large vehicles.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the introduction of further restrictions on Lambourne Grove would remove parking for road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that Fambridge Road is a PR2 Route within ECC Hierarchy of roads, therefore is important to maintain free flowing traffic movement due to its function within the network.</p>

	<p>more prone damage parking on a main road like that.</p> <p>I hope to hear back from someone so maybe we could discuss further admendments to these restrictions where it could be beneficial to everyone where there wouldn't be any issues for anyone and everyone could park without any issues due to housing arrangements.</p> <p>Email 2 Furthermore to my email regarding parking here are a couple of attached photos showing my reasoning for a review on the parking restrictions.</p> <p>With my vehicle parked here late evening kept tight to the kerb with plenty of room to see round the vehicle and not in any obstruction I don't see how these parking restrictions are going to benefit anyone for the likes of myself who just use this in late evening times to park my car.</p> <p>Otherwise with restrictions on lambourne grove resulting into more people having to park there cars on Fambridge road like these it will cause more congestion on this road with other road users struggling to get round cars, people struggling to get off there driveways which will result into people's cars getting damaged.</p>	<p>Images included in Appendix 3</p> <p>When the SEPP Sub Committee meet, they will decide if 'the Proposal' is to be implemented as advertised, reduced, or withdrawn.</p>
<p>3</p>	<p>We support the proposal, No waiting at any time restrictions on lambourne grove</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Please take this as a formal response to the formal consultation regarding proposed parking restrictions in Lambourne Grove Maldon.</p> <p>I am in full support of the proposed restrictions for Lambourne Grove.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>

5	We support the proposal for parking restrictions	Support noted.
6	<p>Email 1 I would like to comment on the proposed parking restrictions for Lambourne Grove, Maldon.</p> <p>I am a resident of Lambourne Grove and have found the existing situation extremely dangerous and inconvenient.</p> <p>There are often many cars and vans parked on the bend at the end of Lambourne Grove that means that one has to drive on the wrong side of the road on a blind bend when exiting the road. There have been many cases where refuse trucks and other delivery vehicles were unable to access the road as vehicles parked on the bend were preventing passage past them. If a refuse truck cannot pass, neither can a fire appliance or ambulance.</p> <p>The proposed scheme should improve the situation, but I feel it does not really solve the current problem.</p> <p>I don't understand the reason for leaving a gap in the double yellow lines on the south side of Lambourne Grove close to the junction with Fambridge Road. This will still allow vehicles to park causing anyone entering Fambridge Road from the south to have to drive on the wrong side of the road on a blind bend.</p> <p>I believe that the proposed scheme will only move the problem further into Lambourne Grove. This will still make it difficult to safely drive around the bend and will also make the turn to exit my drive much tighter if vehicles are parked there. I would much prefer the parking restrictions to be the whole length of Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>Email 2 Further to your email below.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p>

	<p>Please don't take my comments as a rejection of the proposal. The proposed restrictions would be an improvement on the existing situation and I support the plan. However, I do feel that further improvement is required to fully resolve the problem.</p>	
<p>7</p>	<p>I refer to your letter of 26th June 2023 addressed to 'Resident, [REDACTED] Lambourne Grove'. The Resident, [REDACTED] has given me a copy of the letter, to which I would like to make the following observations.</p> <p>I note that you are proposing to extend the current double yellow line scheme and for it to include a greater length of Lambourne Grove. This would certainly be an improvement on the current road markings in that it would stop traffic parking on the very dangerous bend at the Fambridge Road end of Lambourne Grove. I do think though, that this would only have the effect of pushing the problem further into Lambourne Grove, where the road is still quite narrow, and if vehicles were to be parked on one side it would make it difficult or impossible for refuse vehicles to be able to pass them or be able to turn round.</p> <p>I am of the opinion that the best solution would be to install uninterrupted double yellow lines along both sides of the whole length of Lambourne Grove. This would prohibit parking and allow refuse vehicles and other large vehicles to be able to negotiate safely and would also overcome the present problem of negotiating the dangerous bend 'blind' which is the case at present when vehicles are parked around the bend.</p> <p>Making the whole of Lambourne Grove double yellow lined, would not be too much of a problem for residents as every house in the road has a double garage and room in front of each garage to park another vehicle.</p> <p>The problem of parking in Lambourne Grove has been with us for some years now and it is not caused by its residents. It has</p>	<p>Objection noted as representee did not confirm full support of 'the Proposal'.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>

	<p>been observed by many of us that the parked vehicles are from drivers who live on the far side of Fambridge Road and it is they who have caused the problem. I understand also that one of these people is indeed a Maldon District Councillor and if that is correct it is someone who should know better than to park in such a dangerous place.</p> <p>I ask that you give my views due consideration and that whatever the final decision is reached, that it will alleviate the parking problem in Lambourne grove and will be implemented quickly.</p>	
<p>8</p>	<p>Email 1 Although we support the introduction of the above parking restriction due to access issues we are of the opinion that the proposal perhaps does not go far enough. It would simply push the problem further up into Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>Whilst the SEPP proposal would remove vehicles from driving on the wrong side of the road around a blind corner, an accident waiting to happen, it does not fully remove the potential for restricted access further into Lambourne Grove for refuse collections, ambulances, fire and rescue etc. The road width is the same all the way along until it reaches the hammer head at the top end.</p> <p>Email 2 Thank you for your reply. We just wanted to make sure you understand that we 100% support the SEPP scheme as published and that you note our response as such.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p>
<p>9</p>	<p>Email 1 I am responding to the above parking proposal as a resident of Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>The issue of parking by non-residents near the junction with Fambridge Road has been a problem over several years.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of</p>

Parking in the entrance to the road and further along on the blind bends regularly causes access difficulties for delivery lorries, refuse vehicles and emergency service vehicles. Also, because of the parked vehicles on the bend, other vehicles have to drive on the wrong side of a blind bend risking collision with oncoming vehicles and injury to adults and children on bicycles.

To the extent that the proposed scheme addresses these issues I support the proposal.

However, I have reservations about the limited nature of the proposals. Experience shows that when parking is not available on the bend, the parking moves further along Lambourne Grove, creating the same access issues for large vehicles and emergency vehicles going further into the close, and makes it difficult for residents and visitors to turn into or exit their drive-ways. To address this, parking restrictions need to be extended for the whole length of the road, or at least a further 16 metres on the northwest and southeast sides of the road, even if only for limited hours to deter long term daytime and overnight parking.

I also note that there is a proposed parking space(s) immediately on the left hand side of the entrance near Fambridge Road. Parking here is already a traffic hazard for vehicles entering and leaving Lambourne Grove, and there really seems little necessity for those spaces at all.

Subject to these reservations I support the proposals.

Email 2

You may take my reply as full agreement to the scheme as advertised.

I am reassured my other comments have been noted and will be discussed in due course.

passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.

It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.

<p>10</p>	<p>In response to the document issued by yourselves with the above reference, I would like to draw your attention to why has it taken since August 2017 to put forward a suggestion to address the serious situation caused by the parking of non-resident vehicles parking in Lambourne Grove, causing a danger to residents exiting and entering the road from Fambridge Road. This has been an ongoing situation since the changing demographics of the residents in Fambridge road and other nearby roads, whose properties are devoid of garages, and particularly with families and households responsible for up to four vehicles who choose to park their vehicles in Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>Attached is the initial request in August 2017 for some form of parking restrictions to address what was primarily a safety issue caused by non resident vehicles being parked on the bend in the road causing a dangerous blind spot for resident vehicles exiting and entering Lambourne Grove which is a no through road. Since the initial request a further issue was highlighted where refuse lorries and other delivery lorries could not make bin collections or deliveries to residents as no access was possible due to the parked vehicles. (see attached copies of emails sent to Nick Binder)</p> <p>The current proposal by the authorities will to some extent address the safety issue where it provides a better line of sight for residents. However limiting the restriction to the point intended potentially pushes the problem beyond the proposed double yellow lines, and therefore the Refuse Collection, delivery lorries and emergency vehicles will still be unable to pass beyond vehicles parked in the unrestricted length of the road.</p> <p>The proposed restriction is inadequate as vehicles parked beyond the length of the restriction, would make it extremely difficult for some residents to exit their driveways.</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>Section 1 of this report details the background of ‘the Proposal’.</p> <p>The purpose of ‘the Proposal’ is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>
------------------	---	--

	<p>If you were to proceed with what is proposed it would result in the authorities having to revisit the issue again in the future for the same reason of refuse or emergency vehicles being unable to pass parked vehicles., resulting in additional expense to the council.</p> <p>My proposal would be to have either Double yellow lines for the complete length of Lambourne Grove, or alternatively a 'Time Based' restriction in conjunction with a limited length of double yellow lines.</p> <p>I would like you to be aware that the 'Safety' aspect of the issue is still paramount as it is still a frequent occurrence where residents have to take evasive action to avoid a head on collision along the length of the 'Blind Spot' caused by the layout of the road.</p> <p>Please read the attached email to Nick Binder regards some potential options to consider to address the situation, dated August 2022</p> <p>I have included a link below to the residents initial request for Parking Restrictions in Lambourne Grove made in August 2017.</p>	
<p>11</p>	<p>We refer to your letter of the 26th June 2023 in respect of the above proposals.</p> <p>We must strongly object to the proposal as it stands, as it will simply move the parking problem further into Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>The people who park do not live in this road and are extremely inconsiderate. If the yellow lines are placed as proposed, these parkers will move further into Lambourne Grove along the short straight section of road which is narrow and barely leaves room for cars to pass, let alone, lorries, refuse vehicles, fire engines and ambulances. They will also move into the turning area at the end of the road. Parking in this area makes it</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p>

	<p>difficult for the many delivery lorries and refuse vehicles to turn around.</p> <p>Our property is situated to the south of the turning area and there is no pavement, so our front lawn runs straight to the edge of the road, with the services to our house and some others under soft ground adjacent the road.</p> <p>We have already experienced lorries driving over our lawn to turn around and on one occasion a refuse lorry almost hit the corner of our house which is only one meter from the road .</p> <p>This turning area is for turning not parking, so as you see the effects of your proposal would impact us in a very bad way.</p> <p>We could only support parking restrictions to the whole of Lambourne Grove or none at all.</p>	<p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>
12	<p>I am submitting my comments about the proposal to introduce “No waiting at any time” restrictions (Double yellow lines) on Lambourne Grove Maldon</p> <p>For a long time now we the residents have had to put up with refuse lorries turning around and not taking away our rubbish due to vans/cars parking there vehicles in Lambourne Grove. The bags then get ripped open by foxes, cats etc causing a health issue with rats and vermin.</p> <p>The people parking their vehicles do not even live in Lambourne Grove, the width of the road is very small meaning if vehicles are parked on the road side then it is impossible for larger vehicles to gain access, these larger vehicles that cannot gain entry could be a refuse vehicle, or an Emergency Vehicle</p> <p>I have looked at your proposal to add double yellow lines.</p> <p>You have left a large space for maybe two vehicles to park outside No 1 Lambourne Grove. This is a major hazard, when you drive in/out of Lambourne Grove there is a bend and many a time as these spaces are being used you can sometimes come head on with another vehicle, very dangerous and an accident waiting to happen. In your proposal letter sent to all</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>During the site visits conducted by SEPP Technicians, when vehicles park on the bend, access could be difficult for large vehicles.</p> <p>The purpose of ‘the Proposal’ is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>According to Department of Transport Manual for Streets, page 79 figure 7.1, a carriageway width of 4.8metres minimum is advisable in order for wide vehicles to freely pass standard cars.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however</p>

	<p>residents In Lambourne Grove you clearly say “ The purpose of this proposal is to improve sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users” Clearly if this part of the road is not double yellow lined then it is not.</p> <p>Your proposal is to stop the double yellow lines just passed the bend, there is a long stretch of road about 25 meters long after the bend and your proposal does not include double yellow lines which is ridiculous. By not double yellow lining all of Lambourne Grove you are just allowing people who do not live in Lambourne Grove to park their vehicles further down the road causing a problem for the rate paying residents. By not double yellow lining this part of Lambourne Grove will still stop a refuge vehicle/ emergency vehicles from gaining entry to the higher numbers in Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>I drive a Vauxhall Vivaro Van and it measures 2meters from wing mirror to wing mirror, this long stretch of road that on your proposal is not double yellow lined measures 4.88 meters wide. If someone parks a van/ lorry or a car on this part of the road then it is not possible for a refuge vehicle or an emergency vehicle to get passed, the road is just not wide enough taking into consideration people park away from the curb</p> <p>By not adding double yellow lines around the entire Lambourne Grove road will only cause more problems for us residents. Please re-consider your proposal.</p>	<p>it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored. It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>
<p>13</p>	<p>I write with reference to the proposed parking restrictions at the above locations in Maldon.</p> <p>I believe that the main result of the changes will be an increase in drivers using Fambridge Road to park. Fambridge Road is an important thoroughfare used by Emergency Services vehicles which travel at high speed along the road. A significant increase in the volume of parked cars will be hazardous for the drivers of Ambulances & Police cars, Buses etc as well as ordinary car drivers, cyclists and pedestrians, many of whom are young school children. It seems counter-intuitive to take parked cars off quiet side roads and relocate them onto one of</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that Fambridge Road is a PR2 Route within ECC Hierarchy of roads, therefore is important to maintain free flowing traffic movement due to its function within the network.</p> <p>There have been no recorded Personal Injury Collisions on Lambourne Grove, Milton Road or the section of Fambridge Road in</p>

	<p>the busiest roads in the town.</p> <p>Part of the rationale for the proposed changes relates to a perceived problem with sightlines in Lambourne/Milton. I have lived in Fambridge Road for 25 years and I am unaware of a single traffic accident in either Lambourne Grove or Milton Road in all that time; there have however been numerous collisions along Fambridge Road, with even stationary vehicles being struck. Ensuring clear sightlines in Fambridge Road would therefore seem to be a more important consideration if avoiding accidents is a priority.</p> <p>I hope you will take account off our objections,</p>	<p>question during the last 3 years (between 03/06/2020 and 02/06/2023).</p> <p>The purpose of ‘the Proposal’ is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p>
<p>14</p>	<p>Email 1</p> <p>I am strongly in favour of the double yellow lines shown on Plan C for Lambourne Grove.</p> <p>Due to vehicles parking on the bend of the road vehicles coming down the road are forced onto the other side of the road on the bend which is very dangerous and on many occasions there has been a near miss of a head on collision, .this has been experienced by residents living in Lambourne Grove who say they have to run the gauntlet when driving up and down the road, These vehicles parking along the bend of the road cause difficulty for large vehicles ie: lorries, dust carts, emergency vehicles, to access the road I understand only very recently again a parked vehicle was asked to move to allow the bin lorry to pass,. Also on many occasions lorries not being able to pass the vehicles on the bend have mounted my garden causing damage to my property. For this reason support Plan C.</p> <p>However, I am disappointed that the double yellow lines are not continuous from the entrance of Lambourne Grove which is allowing parking for two cars here , I feel that vehicles that</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>The purpose of ‘the Proposal’ is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic. However, it is also the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of all road users.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p>

	<p>now park on the bend will park here which we do experience already but feel that 3 vehicles now will try to squeeze into this space which will overhang our drive and make it dangerous for us exiting onto to the road. Therefore I would like to see the yellow lines continued up past my drive,</p> <p>Email 2 Further to my email this morning I wish to add the following; I have at various times asked the following questions to Mr Binder and local Councillors. Please can you outline the rationale as to why a section of Lambourne Grove at the entrance to the road is not to have yellow lines, given the residents of Lambourne Grove all have adequate parking. I cannot understand the justification.</p> <p>Email 3 Thank you for your Email I wish to object to the proposal</p>	
15	<p>Email 1 I would like to express my concern re the above unless these lines are taken further into the close i.e past No 5. I feel this will only push the dangerous parking situation further into Lambourne Grove – making it difficult and obscuring site line when pulling out of the turning Outside property No 14. People from Fambridge Road will park further in moving the traffic problems further into the road.</p> <p>Email 2 Thank you for your reply. Yes we are objecting to the proposed traffic restrictions as outlined in our previous letter.</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>The purpose of ‘the Proposal’ is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic. However, it is also the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of all road users.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p>
16	<p>I am writing in support of the proposed parking restriction at the start of Lambourne Grove in Maldon.</p> <p>This is a very dangerous at present if cars and especially Vans</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. As with any new parking</p>

	<p>are parked on the corners.</p> <p>I do hope that people do not now park on the grass verge further down the Grove, as it will damage the grass however this is more of an environmental issue and not a safety issue</p> <p>Many thanks for your work</p>	<p>scheme, its effect will be monitored.</p>
17	<p>I am writing to raise my objections to the proposed parking restriction change for Lambourne Grove, Maldon.</p> <p>Your proposed change will only push street parking further up into the grove. When people park in front of our drive it becomes very difficult, if not impossible to get a car out onto the road.</p> <p>I do not believe that the current parking situation presents a problem. In fact, the few parked cars help to slow the traffic making the street safer for pedestrian traffic, bicycles and for us to reverse a car out of our drive. When our children were of primary school age and there was little parking on the street we could not let them play outside our house because cars would speed along the road.</p> <p>If you insist on going ahead with this proposal we ask that the double yellow lines be continued passed number five.</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that parked vehicles can act as a form of traffic calming. Lengths of the road would remain unrestricted which would allow for some vehicles to park.</p> <p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>
18	<p>I am writing to raise my objections to your proposed parking restrictions in Lambourne Grove, Maldon.</p> <p>Our family has lived here for ■ years. Parking has never been a problem. Not so long ago there were very few cars parking in the Grove so cars used to speed round the blind bend outside number 3 and this caused safety problems for ourselves and our neighbours i.e. crossing the road and/or reversing a car out of our drives safely. The few parked cars that we have now help slow the traffic and make it safer for us</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that parked vehicles can act as a form of traffic calming. Lengths of the road would remain unrestricted which would allow for some vehicles to park.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. As with any new parking scheme, its effect will be monitored.</p>

	<p>to exit our drive. If these parking restrictions are enforced we will again have the problem of speeding cars.</p> <p>I also feel the changes will only push street parking further into Lambourne, therefore only moving the problem further along the street. When people park in front of our drive it becomes very difficult, if not impossible to get a car out onto the road.</p> <p>If you insist on going ahead with this proposal we ask that the double yellow lines be continued past number five to where the road widens.</p>	<p>It is outside the remit of this scheme to introduce additional restrictions. Additional restrictions would require a 21-day formal consultation. The SEPP Sub Committee can decide whether to re-advertise a scheme at this location.</p>
19	<p>I wanted to give my support for proposal to introduce no waiting time restrictions (double yellow lines) on Lambourne Grove. For many years I have been concerned that it is an accident waiting to happen with poor parking on a blind bend and I dread driving along it. My daughter had driving lessons and her instructor pointed out that it is one of the worst roads for safety reasons. Children also use the route to the local schools and I am surprised that they haven't been knocked off their bikes. Also on several occasions the bin lorries have been unable to access the road so if there was an emergency I am not sure Ambulance or Fire engines would be able to reach us.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic.</p>
20	<p>Good morning, in respect of the above reference, parking restrictions on lambourne grove and Milton Road, as a local resident (Fambridge Road) I strongly object to the proposal, Lambourne grove being a no through road and Milton Road a less busy road than Fambridge Road are surely a better option for local residents to park than clogging the lower end of Fambridge Road which is a busy route through Maldon, police vehicles also use this route from their base at Maldon council offices, often with blue lights on emergency calls, more cars parked at the lower end of Fambridge are only going to cause more problems. The only vehicles using lambourne grove are residents, and while the handful of cars parked there may be an "inconvenience " to them, access is never compromised and individual drive ways never obstructed, placing double yellow</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the introduction of further restrictions on Lambourne Grove would remove parking for road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that Fambridge Road is a PR2 Route within ECC Hierarchy of roads, therefore is important to maintain free flowing traffic movement due to its function within the network.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking</p>

	<p>lines will only lead to more traffic problems on Fambridge Road, with Maldon's ever increasing population where are local residents expected to park if restrictions are added to quiet, safe roads</p>	<p>provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>During the site visits conducted by SEPP Technicians, when vehicles park on the bend, access could be difficult for large vehicles.</p>
21	<p>I'm writing to object to the double yellow lines on Lambourne grove and Milton road.</p> <p>I live on Fambridge road and as recently passed driver it makes me nervous to think about having to park my car on Fambridge road where it gets extremely busy or worse parking it out of sight from my house where it's more likely to be stolen.</p> <p>More importantly, with the Plume school being located at the top of Fambridge road it gets extremely hectic at rush hours and so by removing places to park such as Milton road and Lambourne grove I believe it increases this danger a significant amount due to the increase in parked cars on Fambridge road this will lead to, making it impossible for emergency vehicles like ambulances and police cars to get down when needed to. Also with the school buses and parents dropping children off at the school this will be made a lot more difficult.</p> <p>Therefore I hope that Lambourne grove and Milton road will continue to be options for parking and I hope you can see how important this is for maintaining a safe road for all to use.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my objection</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the introduction of further restrictions on Lambourne Grove would remove parking for road users.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that Fambridge Road is a PR2 Route within ECC Hierarchy of roads, therefore is important to maintain free flowing traffic movement due to its function within the network.</p> <p>The purpose of 'the Proposal' is to maintain sight lines and better facilitate the passage of traffic for all road users.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Highway is intended for the purposes of passing and re-passing and that no right of parking exists. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of the purpose of the highway. Where it is safe and desirable parking can be allowed. Therefore, it is the aim of SEPP to try and balance the needs of road users.</p> <p>During the site visits conducted by SEPP Technicians, when vehicles park on the bend, access could be difficult for large vehicles.</p>
22	<p>We are residents of Lambourne Grove and we wish to appeal against the change of order for the following reasons.</p> <p>1. By extending the proposed parking restriction zone we believe will just push the current parking issue, caused by the residents on Fambridge Road, further along to the next bend</p>	<p>Objection noted.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that displacement of vehicles may occur, however it is difficult to determine where this will be. However, if implemented, as with any new parking scheme, its effect would be monitored.</p>

<p>where the road layout is narrower and with a sharper radius and could cause additional danger to drivers and pedestrians alike.</p> <p>The other scenario is that the cars are likely to park on Fambridge Road which could possibly cause issues with safe egress from both Lambourne Grove and Milton Road. The current order in our opinion works well as it discourages drivers from driving too fast along Lambourne Grove.</p>	<p>It is acknowledged that Fambridge Road is a PR2 Route within ECC Hierarchy of roads, therefore is important to maintain free flowing traffic movement due to its function within the network.</p>
---	--

APPENDIX 3
Photos

1. Images provided by resident of Fambridge Road (Ref 2)

(Lambourne Grove)



(Fambridge Road)



2. Image taken by SEPP Technician during Site Visits
Lambourne Grove – July 2021

